

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/032,495	Applicant(s) YAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Q. Janice Li, M.D.	Art Unit 1633	

All Participants:

(1) Q. Janice Li, PTO.

(2) Tamara Yorita, Appl. Rep.

Date of Interview: 11/7/05, 11/10/05

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
29, 31-33

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 On 11/7/05, the examiner proposed claim language in order to overcome the rejection of record and to clarify claims. On 11/10/05, Ms. Yorita informed the examiner that the applicant will accept the amendment as shown in the examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Q. JANICE LI, M.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)